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THE ART OF ENTERTAINMENT
NEEDCOMPANY PLAYS THE DEATH OF MICHAEL KÖNIG

‘Here we are, six strangers on an island, and we have to survive. The big bad outside world is knocking at the door. We’ve got good music, delicious food, excellent drinks and genitalia. So what do you suggest, you beautiful new woman who has just slipped into my life?’

Saul J. Waner in ‘The art of entertainment’

The art of entertainment is Jan Lauwers’ new play, commissioned by the Burgtheater. This production takes the collaboration between Needcompany and the Burgtheater another step forward. A unique clash of two cultures and two acting styles. A meeting of two styles of theatre-making.

The art of entertainment is a black, almost cynical comedy about a famous actor, Saul J. Waner, who decides to end his life because he feels that his memory, the home of the soul, is slowly breaking down. He is invited to kill himself in a reality show with a worldwide audience: ‘The Art of Entertainment’, a cookery programme in which a celebrated French chef prepares the suicide’s last meal. Here the story unfolds of an exhausted actor who has been overtaken by time. The profession he has lovingly practised for so long has become inaccessible to him. After all, what remains for an actor who is no longer able to remember his lines, who, with his memory, has also lost his most important weapon in the struggle against reality. But he doesn’t give up that easily. For the last time he takes up the challenge of history. Until the bitter end he continues to play his role. By consciously choosing death where and when he wants it, he retains control until the end of his life. This is his final act.

The art of entertainment thus unfolds as an entertainer’s apologia, the death-song of an actor who can only exist in the play. In confrontation with his great love, Gena, the woman with whom he had made love more than a thousand times, Mr Joy, the embittered doctor-without-borders, and, of course, Liliane Van Muynck, the world-famous host of the programme, an older stand-up comedienne on the decline, a conversation arises about the decadence of the Occident and the actor launches into a tirade about the loss of his profession: acting.
SNOW WHITE ON THE URINAL
OR, THE PORTRAIT OF THE ARTIST AS AN ENTERTAINER
By Erwin Jans

I see myself as a sort of radical entertainer. I ask a number of questions. People come and take a look, then go out again laughing, or crying. They have had a good time. I hope that I have brought them something. If the show brings something to the audience, is that entertainment or art?

Jan Lauwers

0.
A comedian emerges from behind the curtain with a grin from ear to ear. He is nervous. He is wearing a red fez. He cracks a poor joke. The audience laughs. He does a trick with a rope. The trick fails. The audience applauds. He signals with his hand and encourages the public to clap louder, while he looks nervously towards the wings as though the director of the theatre is monitoring his performance. The audience laughs even harder spurring him on. It enjoys the conspiracy of making fun of the man in the wings. That man represents the invisible order. Anyone looking for him in the wings will find no one, except perhaps ultimately themselves. The only people we are making fun of are ourselves. First there is the laughter and only then the joke. The status of the comedian owes more to the laughter and the applause than to the quality of his jokes. Laughter is a social duty and jokes act as the alibi.¹ On 15 April 1984 during a live television show the comedian slowly collapsed and fell backwards into the curtains. While the audience was almost suffocating with laughter, the comedian died of a heart attack before the eyes of tens of thousands of people. A sublime death? The irony of fate? Dying live: the last sacrifice. The final countdown. The ultimate entertainment. Since May 2009, it has been possible to view the 39-second Tommy Cooper Death Video on YouTube.

1. Last Guitar Monster: Here we are now, entertain us!
The Tragedy of the Applause: this is the name of the joint exhibition and concert organised at the end of 2010 by OHNO COOPERATION — a splinter group of Needcompany that is the result of a partnership between Jan Lauwers and Maarten Seghers - the curator and artist duo.² Both the exhibition and the concert gravitate around pop music and above all the guitar, a symbol par excellence of the contemporary entertainment industry. At the heart of this was a declaration by Louise Bourgeois about the corruptive power of success. Applause is the main reason why the entertainment industry has adopted and integrated pop music so speedily and efficiently: “It is only thanks to the applause that Mick Jagger, at 60, still can’t get no satisfaction. The tragedy of the applause. But there’s nothing wrong with that. We love tragedies. In this concert of concerts that lasts 72 minutes and 46 seconds, OHNO COOPERATION celebrates the 464th anniversary and the death of pop music,” states the announcement for the concert organised by Lauwers and Seghers together with a number of partners in crime such as Rombout Willems and Eric Sleichim. The 464th anniversary/day of the death of pop music refers to the publication of the Tres libros de musica en cifras para vihuela (1546) by the Spanish composer, Alonso Mudarra. This is the oldest compilation of pieces for the guitar. The history of pop music in fact started with the first piece of music for the guitar.

¹ Matthijs van Boxsel, Deskundologie. Domheid als levenskunst, Amsterdam, Querido, 2006
² La Tragédie de l’Applaudissement a été présenté à La Condition Publique (Roubaix) et CC Strombeek.
Lauwers took part of his inspiration for the exhibition from this popular instrument. He produced a large sculpture, *Last Guitar Monster* that he described as “a guitar with feedback that plays all by itself and refers to the masturbation guitar of Jimi Hendrix and *The Great Masturbator* by Salvador Dalí, the anagram of which, Avida Dollars, is also a dramatic nod to the tragedy of applause that was rapidly converted into hard cash in the vulgar capitalist system that we have opted for since the fall of the Berlin wall.” Pop music has become the victim of the tragedy of applause. In his sculpture, Lauwers looks for the common ground with the visual arts. The visual artist as a pop musician? Or the pop musician as a critical mirror for the visual artist? “It is widely known that a lot of pop musicians since Andy Warhol and The Velvet Underground have come from the field of the visual arts. Which is odd as what pop music doesn’t do is precisely what an artist should do, namely looking at material and redefining art itself. Pop music is first taken into the grip of the entertainment industry and is only seen as ‘real’ art sporadically. As art represents the unravelling of the relationship between the entertainment industry and the merciless art market, we end up realising that that which is reduced to a format is no longer an image,” according to Lauwers. Image versus format, ‘real’ art versus the entertainment industry, studying material versus applause. The theatre of Jan Lauwers develops in the open space created by these questions. It uses theatre to reveal the ambiguity of the medium. Theatre has missed the train of modern art in more ways than one, and in the words of Lauwers, is “a historical-museum fact”. Theatre has not confronted itself sufficiently. It needs to thoroughly review its role. I distinguish fundamentally here between directors and creators of theatre. Directors stage a repertoire and I think that is very important. But for me a performance is only interesting when it is about the subject of theatre itself. One example is the sculptures of Michelangelo. His works were commissioned by religious clients, but actually what was important was the material he worked with, the marble. That is what made him the great artist he is. The tragedy of applause has also played a dangerous role in theatre. Because the actors and the director want to hear the same applause every evening, they end up in a system of perfect reproduction. But theatre can escape the hold of the market, you can’t buy a performance like you can a work of art, you can’t speculate with it on the art market. That’s why theatre is a refuge for reflection. It is a slow medium and we need to take it a lot more seriously. I have become a great defender of theatre, which was not at all the case ten years ago. Perhaps we can rediscover the role of art through theatre?”

2. Voyeurs without illusions
But how far can you take the critical potential of theatre at a time when society has become a ‘show’ in its deepest innermost fibre. “For something to have meaning, there has to be a scene, and there can only be a scene if there is illusion, a minimum of illusion, an imaginary movement, a challenge to reality that transports you, that seduces you, that revolts you. Without this aesthetic, mythical, playful dimension par excellence, there is not even a political scene where something can take place. And this minimal illusion has disappeared for us. What we have is an over-representation by the media, but not really a performance. As far as we are concerned that’s simply obscene because it is made by the media so that it can be watched without being seen, to be hallucinatingly transparent, absorbed like sex absorbs the voyeur: from a distance. We are not spectators, nor actors, but voyeurs without illusions.” Instead of the scene, according to the French sociologist Jean Baudrillard, we have entered the era of ob-scenity. “Illusion is manifested through the scene; reality manifests itself through the ob-scene.” The difference between reality and fiction is on a dangerously slippery slope. Because of televised ‘formats’, reality and authenticity are being produced on an assembly line: *Big Brother, The Bachelor, Temptation Island, Fear Factor,*... are explicit productions about the strong emotions that we are no able to experience in our daily lives. In politics and in public spaces, the (auto)production and
representation have become vital machines of war and first line survival strategies. What Baudrillard calls ‘performance’ or ‘the stage’ Lauwers calls the ‘image’. What Lauwers calls ‘format’ is a term for ‘obscene’.

3. The greatest work of art
At the press conference in Hamburg on 16 September 2001 for his opera cycle Licht the composer, Karlheinz Stockhausen described the attacks of 9/11 as ‘the greatest work of art ever’: “Well, what happened there is, of course—now all of you must adjust your brains—the biggest work of art there has ever been. The fact that spirits achieve with one act something which we in music could never dream of, that people practise ten years madly, fanatically for a concert. And then die. And that is the greatest work of art that exists for the whole Cosmos. Just imagine what happened there. There are people who are so concentrated on this single performance, and then five thousand people are driven to Resurrection. In one moment. I couldn't do that. Compared to that, we are nothing, as composers. (...) It is a crime, you know of course, because the people did not agree to it. They did not come to the "concert". That is obvious. And nobody had told them: "You could be killed in the process." Comparing a terrorist attack with a concert and a work of art: was this the ultimate aestheticisation of reality? Stockhausen’s declaration was the proof for many that modern art was completely detached from any values and awareness of reality, and that the modern artist, completely isolated in his ivory tower, could permit himself to make the most abhorrent comments. But, in a certain way, Stockhausen was right. 9/11 was the perfect mediatisation of terror. No event has transformed so many viewers into voyeurs at any one given point in time. Terror and media exist in a symbiotic relationship: they are mutually interdependent. The media provide the cameras and the journalists and the terrorists provide the corpses and the blood. Together they provide the waves of fear
and excitement that stimulate the nerves of the dead tired TV audiences day in and day out. But what exactly did we see? Did we see an image? Or were we already deep in the matrix of the obscene?

4. Always look at the bright sides of death (2x)
The main character in The art of entertainment, the latest play by Jan Lauwers, is Saul J. Waner. If you look closely, you will see that this is an anagram of the name of the writer and director. Theatre as a self-portrait, as the state of affairs. Saul J. Waner is an ageing celebrity who has decided to put an end to his life. He is slowly losing his memory and his faculties and does not want to go through this process of degeneration: “I am no longer myself and it will not be long before I am no longer aware that I am no longer myself”. He is invited to kill himself in a reality show with a worldwide audience: The art of entertainment, the one and only real suicide show on the planet, with a global audience: “We have exceeded the 100 million viewer mark,” explains the moderator “and we hope to reach 110 million viewers tonight. The programme is broadcast in 74 countries. Live… what a word for a programme in which someone is going to die.” This reality show is also a cooking programme – perhaps the favourite TV format of the moment - in which a famous French chef prepares the last supper of the person about to commit suicide. While this last meal is being prepared, Saul J. Waner listens to his favourite music, the Stabat Mater of the prematurely deceased composer Pergolesi, and has conversations with several larger than life characters: Gena, his great, but unfaithful love; Doctor Joy, a doctor without borders, who will be present for the suicide; Liliane Van Muynck, the host of the show, a former stand-up comic making a come-back, etc. Their existential discussions that jump from one subject to another vacillate from acting, sex, art, politics, suicide; the favourite themes of a drifting intellectual class. There are also some grotesque characters who jump out from the margins of the story and interrupt it brutally with slapstick and inappropriate and obscene comments: Mr. Duchamp, a cook; James Brown, a washer up; Yoko, a piece of meat; a camera, etc. While Lauwers created a sort of ‘community’ in his previous plays, however broken and fragmented it was, around the subject of sorrow and death, this time that is not the case. The TV studio does not allow for this apparently. There is no singing together either – the emotional trademark of the Sad Face / Happy Face trilogy – in this play: just a lot of chattering to fill in the time “because entertainment will not entertain the idea of a moment of silence”, the hostess of the programme tells us. No tragic generosity as we find in Isabella’s room, no collective mourning that we find in The Deer House. This time, Lauwers goes for Monty Python style comedy: absurd, black, sharp, incisive, at times insolent and even in poor taste.

5. The Duchamp Fountain 1
When Gena is about to be caught by Saul in flagrante delicto with Dr. Joy, she rushes to sit down at table with the complete works of Rimbaud and pretends to read. Saul subtly points out that she is holding the book upside down. Gena: “Oh, I wanted to see if I could find another meaning to Rimbaud’s Une saison en Enfer if you turned it on its head. Certain things are impressive when turned on their head.” What Gena is doing, is what modern art does with Beauty: turning it on its head. In the opening poem of Une saison en Enfer (1873) Rimbaud writes the well-known lines: “One evening I sat Beauty on my knees. And I found her bitter. And I insulted her.” In the last poem he writes an even more famous line: “One must be absolutely modern.” The first rule of modernity is to insult and to scorn Beauty. Modern art turns the rules of harmony, recognition, representation, order, tradition, history, work taste, emotion on their head to see if we can find “another meaning.” “Turning something on its head”, is the radical gesture of modern art and this is particularly true of the avant-garde. If there is a work of art that has not just articulated this gesture in all its clarity, but that has taken on the full responsibility for it – and has made art irretrievably
'absolutely modern', - it is *Fountain* (1917). It was under this English title, an exponent of traditional Beauty, that Marcel Duchamp submitted a urinal for an exhibition in New York. The organisers thought that it was sent as an insult so the object was refused. But Duchamp’s intention was a much greater and more fundamental insult, the aftershocks of which can still be felt today. In 2004 the work was selected by a panel of 500 art aficionados as the most influential work of art of the 20th century. Once the fountain had been turned on its head and become a urinal, there was no going back. From that moment on, art exists thanks to the autonomous gesture of artists who are able to declare that all objects are art by putting them in the right environment. Any reference to a history or tradition, to the craftsmanship and technical skills, to a recognisable and representable reality, loses its necessity for art. It is essential to be absolutely modern: being absolutely modern is about constant fracturing, a new beginning, the tabula rasa, destruction. Destroying and creating, insulting and affirming in one and the same gesture. “Delete the old days”, wrote Kasimir Malevitsj in a short poem before he painted *White square on a white background* (1918): “Try to never repeat, in icons, or in paintings, or in words/ If the fact of doing something reminds you of something done in the past, the voice of the new birth tells me:/ delete, shut up, put the fire out if it is fire,/ If you want the drift of your thoughts to be lighter and to not go rusty,/ in order to waft the breeze of a new day into the desert.”

6. The matrix of amusement

In 1984 (1948) Georges Orwell wrote how modern society was falling prey to an excess of totalitarian control and authoritarian violence. In *A Brave New World* (1932) Aldous Huxley revealed a completely different vision of decline. In his world, there is no need for a Big Brother who deprives people of their autonomy, their insights and their history. According to Huxley the people started to love those who oppress them and admire the technologies that keep them under control. In his book *Amusing Ourselves to Death* (1985) the American sociologist Neil Postman analyses the difference between two futuristic visions, he adopts that of Huxley in which people allow themselves to plunge into a sort of blessed state of drowsiness and voluntarily relinquish their rights. For Postman television was the medium par excellence to put people into this type of comatose state: the audiences give up their individual rights in exchange for entertainment. Media are no longer technological tools or instruments that are at our service. They have become the stage on which we can appear. We are seduced by them. We live ‘in’ the media. We are surrounded by them. We are submerged in them. Is there a real world behind the media world, in the same way that there is a real world behind the wings where illusions are lost? A world in which the way illusion is created is revealed? ‘Welcome to the real world’. This famous line, comes from the film *The Matrix* and is uttered by Morpheus the head of the resistance when Neo, the hero of the film, gains an insight into the virtual construction of our reality, generated and controlled by a gigantic computer that everyone is hooked up to. Human beings are kept in an artificial dream-like state and only thinks that they exist. The ‘real’ reality that the hero gains access to is the desolate desert landscape of Chicago that lies in ruins following a global catastrophe. Which is the catastrophe that is concealed behind our media society? If the world is presented to us like a play in which we are allowed to play a role, what lies behind the curtains? What happens when the show is over? According to the philosopher Henk Oosterling we are characterised by what he calls ‘radical mediocrity’: we are radically immersed in the media. The media (from cars to mobile phones, from microwave ovens to CNN, from microchips to cyberspace, from biotechnology to intelligent weapons) have become the ‘environment’ in which we exist. “If media have become the environment, the question of whether a medium is good or bad can no longer be answered,” added the philosopher. Any pure criticism of our media-driven condition is no longer feasible because in order to present their critique, they are forced to use the media that are being criticised. “Any medium starts as a liberation and ends up
as a problem. A medium becomes mature as soon as it overtakes and turns its creator into a slave. In the same way that rituals facilitated contact with the gods, media make our inner and outer world experimentable and communicable. They infiltrate into the pores of the bodies of individuals. The media control, direct our attention and channel collective behaviours,” added Henk Oosterling. The medium has become the means of subsistence. In other words: Does life exist outside the Matrix? Can we still think outside the ‘formats’? Has the world become irremediably ob-scene?

7. The Duchamp Fountain 2
Two decades after Duchamps’ Fountain, Walt Disney’s Snow White and the Seven Dwarfs (1937), the first full-length animated film appeared. Lauwers considers Duchamp and Disney as two icons of the visual culture of the twentieth century. D&D represent two radically opposed gestures. The fundamental gesture of Duchamp is destruction, demolishing the existing order. The fundamental gesture of Disney is creating a new mythology and new iconography. It is true that Disney does not form part of the history of art in the strictest sense of the word, but his impact – and this applies to his impact on artists too – is greater than that of Duchamp. For Lauwers D&D represent a tension in modern art: the longing for iconoclasm and idiosyncrasy mixed with the desire for iconography and communication. He translated this tension into two dramatic trilogies: the Snakesong Trilogy and Sad Face | Happy Face. Is the transgression from Snakesong Trilogy to Sad Face | Happy Face a transgression from Duchamp to Disney, from modern iconoclasm to a post-modern mythology, even if it is fragmented and hybrid? For the American art historian, Wendy Steiner the 20th century is the century of ‘autonomous’ art that she calls ‘sublime’. The 21st century however, will in her opinion, be the century of heteronomous art that she associates with what is ‘beautiful’. She believes that sublime represents art that tears apart, that is disturbing and alienating, that does not seek recognition from a certain audience or a certain community, while beautiful represents communication, consolation, openness and dialogue with the public. Steiner’s vision is too simple, but it reveals a part of the crisis that is having an impact on the notion of autonomy. Isabella’s room, the first part of Sad Face |
Happy Face, was born out of the desire to create a much more direct communication with the audience, while accepting that modern art since Duchamps’ Fountain, had lost a lot of its contact with the public: “Was the removal of emotion from works of art that we have seen since Fountain a good move? That is the fundamental question I ask myself. Duchamp changed the function of the object and demanded that the audience think about it. Anyone who is not familiar with the framework of reference in which Fountain is placed, does not understand the image. My opinion now is that a work of art should be able to hold its own even without a context. The work of art should have the same effect as the pyramids in Egypt: even the greatest nitwit realises immediately that something happened there. The reflection that Duchamp provoked, is important and necessary, but I think that the point of view of the person observing has been forgotten. By specialising you can lose your relationship with society. Duchamp forced the audience to specialise in art, if they wanted to be able to understand it. Gilbert and George have also recently admitted that the greatest error in modern art has been to have not given the observer a chance. This ivory tower mechanism has brought in its wake the elimination of the social aspect of the visual arts and its adoption by the commercial circuit. Because of the tragedy of applause, Marcel Duchamp’s Fountain has returned to its status as a urinal. Because images no longer exist without ‘reflection’, the entertainment industry has chosen to exclude the concept of reflection and has consecrated applause. That is tragic. Artists are also responsible for this. An enormous confusion has emerged around art, culture and leisure. Artists have forgotten their role. Artists such as Luciano Fabro and Michelangelo Pistoletto say that artists must search for wisdom, not truth, but wisdom. Art is about asking questions and not providing answers. But then you have to be asking the right questions. At the current time a lot is demanded of art and artists. In recent years long and intense discussions have been held about canon and repertoire, about making the public aware and encouraging them to participate, about diversity and target groups, about accessibility and emancipation, commercial culture and new media, about urban and social responsibility, etc. But art has nothing intrinsically to do with politics. Art can of course form a relationship with politics, but you’re not allowed to express that dogmatically. I also find that the innumerable political statements that form part of modern theatre today are crude simplifications.”

8. Human sacrifice
The cult of human sacrifice is not a thing of the past. Just the contrary, it has just relocated to TV. Aren’t most news items constructed around death and victims? Wars, attacks, accidents, earthquakes, floods, epidemics, etc. as well as the scarred and marginalised bodies of the unemployed, the homeless, the junkies, asylum seekers, illegal immigrants, etc. an endless line of human sacrifice. In most of the detective series the body that is dead, killed, raped, mutilated, etc., plays a central role. Different series concentrate explicitly on corpses, the crime scene and the pathological-anatomical research. The bodies are cut open with the greatest possible realism, ribs are cut through with cutters, organs and stomach contents are analysed. We follow the route of a bullet and we see how it creates a path through the skin, destroying muscle and penetrating deep into an organ; a pornography of violence and blood. Television (and the Internet) devour bodies on a production line. A daily orgy of destruction. And doesn’t the cookery programme fit in with this scenario. Lauwers goes right to the ultimate consequence: the last supper of Saul is a cannibal ritual. Saul’s final meal is a Japanese dish: the girl Yoko, described in the cast as ‘a piece of meat’. Yoko is played by Yumiko Funaya who played the role of the foreigner, the outsider in The Deer House, the person that no-one accepted or that they accepted with reluctance. Before she dies, she speaks for the first time in the play. Her maiden speech and testament is a perfectly cynical description of our era: “Just a minute. I want to say something before you eat me. We live in a post-modern, post-dramatic, post-melancholic, post-romantic, post-national, post-global, post-social, post-popular, post-sexual, post-political,
post-capitalistic, post-human post-world with grotesque clowns who stuff themselves with anonymous animals, anonymous vegetables, anonymous drugs, anonymous alcohol and who hang themselves with anonymous ropes, jump into anonymous rivers, jump under anonymous trains, throw themselves from anonymous window. Endless, endless. Thank you. You can eat me now.” With The art of entertainment, Lauwers puts Snow White back on the urinal and allows snakes to enter the deer house!

9. The icing on the cake
After the last supper it’s time for the lethal injection: Saul commits suicide live. Doctor Joy witnesses the death. What follows is not a serene grieving of the defunct that we are familiar with from previous productions by Lauwers but a hilarious and grotesque spectacle. Gena, who wanted to die with Saul at first, decides not to die. And then we have the ‘deus ex machina’! To the great amazement of Doctor Joy and of all the others, Saul gets up again a few minutes later: “Of course you don’t understand anything. You are a doctor. And for doctors you can only die once. But I am an actor. An imposter. I can die a thousand times. Every evening I die and I don’t die. That’s my life. And you don’t understand that.” Everything gets completely out of hand on the stage: violence, rape, murder, etc. And all of that live:: “No camera, no entertainment”, says the host of the programme. Doctor Joy and Saul throw insults at each other. They start attacking each other. Doctor Joy strangles Saul. Is this the end? Not yet. We have another mise-en-scène. This time it is for a good cause: Saul’s participation in the suicide programme was part of an advertising strategy for Doctors Without Borders! The theatre is a stage for social commitment after all. Or double or triple irony? Because who believes who now? Who’s fooling who? “As long as lies and impostors entertain us all is well,” says the hostess. Lauwers lets the theatre machine run at full revs. In overdrive. Amusing ourselves to death. Even love turns crazy!

SAUL
Gena, you owe people an explanation.

GENA
I have nothing to explain.

SAUL
You’re right about that. But I am a bit disappointed..

GENA
Your disappointment is feigned.

SAUL
Absolutely.
Why are we so ready to deny ourselves what we love?

GENA
Blahblahblah.
Saul, I love you.

SAUL
Fuck you.
GENA
O.K. Now?

(They laugh.)

10.
Saul & Gena Fuck Video shortly on YouTube.
FRAGMENTS FROM AN INTERVIEW WITH JAN LAUWERS AND JÉRÔME SANS

You are a theatre-maker, film-maker, writer and visual artist. How do you describe yourself?
Not as a director, painter, writer or film-maker. I am just an artist who tries to use all those different media. Isn’t that the only way to survive? Why should I restrict myself? Art should not specialise. Art begins when thinking is still in its infancy. Leave specialisation to the scientists and the philosophers! In art I prefer to use other words, like ‘hysteria’. Philosophers have a lot to learn from non-specialised artists. Together we can achieve general wisdom. That is the eventual goal: achieving wisdom. We invariably fail to do that and we call that failure beauty.
I use as many different means of expression as I possibly can. At first I found that frustrating; now I see it as a blessing. I am no longer afraid of it. The restlessness it causes serves as a driving force. Every medium raises different questions. In visual art you have to destroy your virtuosity, while in the theatre world you have to foster it. It took me twenty years to understand the ‘theatre’ medium, which is a mix of contact with the public, the vulnerability of the actors, the virtuosity and the vanity of the medium... and the applause, the tragedy of theatre.
When I started out in theatre, I was not interested in classical theatre and I didn’t know the repertoire. My introduction to the theatre was a performance by the German artist Joseph Beuys in which he wiped fat off a wall. I was interested in the dark depression of his performances and his drawings. That was in 1976, a crucial year in my life as a young artist. I saw the exhibition of Joseph Beuys’s drawings at Jan Hoet’s Museum of Contemporary Art in Ghent; it was the year of Bob Wilson’s and Philip Glass’s Einstein on the Beach and, of course, of the Sex Pistols’ first record. I was in my first year at the art academy and I discovered Joseph Kosuth and the conceptual writings of Art&Language, and everyone was reading Wittgenstein. I was a very restless young man and I was determined to leave my mark.

When I see how the art of the last twenty years has evolved, or rather, has adapted to the aspirations of the public, to the desire for the spectacular, glamorous side of our culture and how it manifests itself as a fashion phenomenon, then I really despair. Art is too temporary, too susceptible to market mechanisms. Nobody escapes them any longer. We live in a cowardly and deceitful age. That’s why people seek refuge in a superficial realism. Big Brother is described as avant-garde and war photography is elevated to the rank of art. People want recognisable forms. The fact that I only take Polaroid photos of objects I have made myself makes the Polaroids important. They go against that realism.

You are known for your actors’ acting and in particular for the paradox between ‘acting’ and ‘not acting’. How do you work with the different protagonists you bring together in your performances?
If you want to find the autonomy of a medium, you simply have to keep looking. As a director I don’t look for form, but for a code. As soon as that code becomes clear, I want my actors to contest my authority. And if they haven’t understood the code, we’ve failed. That is not to say that I dictate my actors’ every gesture according to my script. That is sometimes a problem for those who are trained for reproduction. We have to destroy the idea of representation in the theatre and replace it with presentation. That is the only link with performance... It is the action that counts, not necessarily the result.

Your type of theatre seems to be more a work in progress than an objective per se.
That’s the difference between presentation and representation. With a presentation the moment itself, the ‘thinking moment’, is the most important for the performers. That way the work is always moving forward
and is never the same. That’s what makes the subscript important. In conventional theatre the emphasis is always on reproduction.

My work is strongly influenced by performances staged in the 1970s, but then without the sometimes pernicious narcissism whose substance was often too limited. In the 1970s, early 1980s, we tried to formulate an answer to ossified conventional theatre. We threw the linear story out and introduced visual, fragmentary theatre that attached importance to the physical presence of the actors, who we preferred to call performers. Yet it was always very different from ‘performance’ as such, not least because we worked with performers. In performance art the performer is the artist himself. In the theatre the difference between the creative artist and the performing artist is very important.

In the 1990s I started to explore the story, which resulted in Isabella’s Room. The production became popular because a straightforward story had never been told in that so-called metatheatre. It was as if the spectators were relieved to see that all those experiments had led somewhere.

To prevent us slipping into a new form of repertoire I came up with the Needlapbs. These were one-off evenings when we tried to close the gap between artists and performers. My role was more that of a curator.

The 1970s were more important than the 1980s. Several Flemish artists, like Michel Laub, were responsible for taking theatre in a new direction. Laub made highly repetitive theatre even before Wilson and Glass’s Einstein on the Beach, and it was more closely linked to the performance idea. His company was aptly named Remote Control: as the artist, Laub directed his performers from a distance. That signalled the end of the performance idea. In one of my first productions I had the performers run round the stage while a 60-kilo sack of sand rotated and knocked them over. But because I was the artist and so not taking part, I began to regard it as deontologically irresponsible. The show became an ‘aestheticisation’ of the performance concept of the early 1970s.

I believe that evolution was necessary. Just look at what happened to the Wiener Aktionisten. It was a dead-end. That return to the pursuit of beauty, or rather, a redefinition of beauty, was crucial. At the same time I read the writings of the artist Joseph Kosuth and other conceptualists. It seemed to me that that extreme intellectualism was not the only possible direction to go in.
PERFORMANCE DATES 2010 -2011

World première
Akademietheater (Burgtheater), Vienna 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 March 2011
23, 24, 25 April 2011
Teatro Lliure, Barcelona* (Spanish Première) 21, 22 April 2011
Akademietheater (Burgtheater), Vienna 11, 12 June 2011

PERFORMANCE DATES 2011 -2012

Kampnagel Internationales
Sommer Festival, Hamburg (German Première) 18, 19, 20 August 2011
Kaaithéâtre, Brussels* (Belgian Première) 15, 16, 17, 18 September 2011
International Theatre Festival, Moscow* 19, 20 October 2011
Rotterdamse Stadschouwburg* (Dutch Première) 24 November 2011
VOORUIT, Ghent* 15, 16, 20 December 2011

* Michael König will be replaced by Dirk Roofthooft.
WORK FOR THEATRE – JAN LAUWERS & NEEDCOMPANY

1979 – 1986 Epigonentheater ZLV

1987 Need to Know
Opening: 24 March, Mickery, Amsterdam

1989 ça va
Opening: 18 March, Theater am Turm, Frankfurt

1990 Julius Caesar
Opening: 31 May, Rotterdamse Schouwburg

1991 Invictos
Opening: 18 May, Centro Andaluz de Teatro, Seville

1992 Antonius und Kleopatra
Opening: 14 February, Teater am Turm, Frankfurt

1992 SCHADE/schade
Opening: 21 October, Theater am Turm, Frankfurt

1993 Orfeo, opera by Walter Hus
Opening: 23 May, Bourlaschouwburg, Antwerp

1994 The Snakesong Trilogy - Snakesong/Le Voyeur
Opening: 24 March, Theater am Turm, Frankfurt

1995 The Snakesong Trilogy - Snakesong/Le Pouvoir (Leda)
Opening: 11 May, Dance 95, Munich

1996 Needcompany's Macbeth
Opening: 26 March, Lunatheater, Brussels

1996 The Snakesong Trilogy - Snakesong/Le Désir
Opening: 6 November, Kanonhallen, Copenhagen

1997 Caligula, No beauty for me there, where human life is rare, part one
Opening: 5 September, Documenta X, Kassel

1998 The Snakesong Trilogy, reworked version with live music
Opening: 16 April, Lunatheater, Brussels

1999 Morning Song, No beauty for me there, where human life is rare, part two
Opening: 13 January, Lunatheater, Brussels

2000 Needcompany's King Lear
Opening: 11 January, Lunatheater, Brussels

2000 DeaDDogsDon'tDance/DJamesDjoyceDeaD
Opening: 12 May, Das TAT, Frankfurt

2001 Ein Sturm
Opening: 22 March, Deutsches Schauspielhaus in Hamburg

2001 Kind
Opening: 21 June, Het Net, Bruges

2002 Images of Affection
Opening: 28 February, Stadsschouwburg, Bruges

2003 No Comment
Opening: 24 April, Kaaitheater, Brussels
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Venue Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Isabella’s room</td>
<td>Opening: 9 July, Cloître des Carmes, Festival d’Avignon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>All is Vanity</td>
<td>Opening: 8 July, Théâtre Municipal, Festival d’Avignon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>The Lobster Shop</td>
<td>Opening: 10 July, Cloître des Célestins, Festival d’Avignon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>The Deer House</td>
<td>Opening: 28 July, Perner-Insel, Hallein, Salzburger Festspiele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Sad Face</td>
<td>Happy Face, A Trilogy, Three Stories on Human Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>The art of entertainment</td>
<td>Opening: 5 March, Akademietheater (Burgtheater), Vienna</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**PUBLICATIONS IN BOOK FORM by or about Jan Lauwers**


- LAUWERS, Jan, *Sad Face / Happy Face, Drei Geschichten über das Wesen des Menschen*, Fischer Taschenbuche Verlag (Frankfurt), 2008.


**PRIZES**


– Obie Award in New York for the play *Morning Song*, 1999.


– Le Masque, prize awarded by the Académie Québécoise du Théâtre in Montréal, Canada, for the best foreign production, *La Chambre d’Isabella*, 2005.

– Prize awarded by the Syndicat Professionnel de la Critique de Théâtre, de Musique et de Danse in France, for the best foreign production, *La Chambre d’Isabella*, 2005.

- Culture prize awarded by the Flemish Community 2006, theatre literature category, for the *De kamer van Isabella* and *Ulrike* scripts.

- Grand Prix – Golden Laurel Wreath Award for Best Performance / MESS Festival Sarajevo, for *Isabella’s room*, 2009.

- ‘Politika’ prize for best director / BITEF Festival in Belgrade, for *Isabella’s Room* and *The Deer House*, 2010.


**JAN LAUWERS (long version)**

Jan Lauwers (Antwerp, 1957) is an artist who works in just about every medium. Over the last twenty years he has become best known for his pioneering work for the stage with Needcompany, which was founded in Brussels in 1986. Over the years he has also built up a substantial body of art work which was shown in an exhibition at BOZAR (Brussels) in 2007.

Jan Lauwers studied painting at the Academy of Art in Ghent. At the end of 1979 he gathered round him a number of people to form the *Epigonensemble*. In 1981 this group was transformed into the Epigonentheater zlv collective which took the theatre-world by surprise with its six stage productions. In this way Jan Lauwers took his place in the movement for radical change in Flanders in the early ‘80, and also made his international breakthrough. Epigonentheater zlv presented direct, concrete, highly visual theatre that used music and language as structuring elements. Their productions were *Already Hurt and not yet War* (1981), *de demonstratie* (1983), *Bulletbird* (1983), *Background of a Story* (1984) and *Incident* (1985). Jan Lauwers disbanded this collective in 1985 and founded Needcompany.

**NEEDCOMPANY**

Jan Lauwers *needs company*. He founded Needcompany together with Grace Ellen Barkey. They together are responsible for Needcompany larger-scale productions. The group of performers Jan Lauwers and Grace Ellen Barkey have put together over the years is quite unique in its versatility. Their *associated performing artists* are MaisonDahlBonnema (Hans Petter Dahl & Anna Sophia Bonnema), Lemm&Barkey (Lot Lemm & Grace Ellen Barkey), OHNO COOPERATION (Maarten Seghers & Jan Lauwers) and the NC ensemble, which includes the inimitable Viviane De Muynck. They create work of their own under Needcompany’s wing.

Since Needcompany was founded in 1986, both its work and its performers have been markedly international. Its first productions, *Need to Know* (1987) and *ça va* (1989) – which received the Mobiel Pegasus Preis – were still highly visual, but in subsequent productions the storyline and the main theme gained in importance, although the fragmentary composition remained.

Lauwers’ training as an artist is decisive in his handling of the theatre medium and leads to a highly individual and in many ways pioneering theatrical idiom that examines the theatre and its meaning. One of its most important characteristics is a transparent, ‘thinking’ acting and the paradox between ‘acting’ and ‘performing’.


In September 1997 he was invited to take part in the theatre section of Documenta X (Kassel), for which he created *Caligula*, after Camus, the first part of a diptych called *No beauty for me there, where human life is rare*. With *Morning Song* (1999), the second part of the diptych *No beauty...,* Lauwers and Needcompany
won an Obie Award in New York. In May 2000, at the request of William Forsythe, Lauwers created, in co-production with Ballett Frankfurt, the piece entitled *DeaDDogsDon’tDance/DjamesDjoyceDeaD* (2000).


A collection of several thousand ethnological and archaeological objects left by Jan Lauwers’ father urged him to tell the story of Isabella Morandi in *Isabella’s room* (2004) (Avignon theatre festival). Nine performers together reveal the secret of Isabella’s room with as central figure the monumental actress Viviane De Muynck. This play was awarded several prizes, including the 2006 Flemish Community Culture Prize in the playwriting category.

In 2006 he created two pieces for the Avignon Festival, one of which is *The Lobster Shop*, whose script he wrote himself, and *All is Vanity*, a monologue by Viviane De Muynck, which the actress herself adapted from Claire Goll’s book of the same name.

The Salzburger Festpiele has invited Jan Lauwers to make a new production, *The Deer House*, for summer 2008. Together with *Isabella’s Room* (2004) and *The Lobster Shop* (2006) this new production makes up a trilogy on human nature: *Sad Face / Happy Face*. The trilogy as a whole was performed for the first time at the Salzburger Festspiele 2008.

Needcompany has been artist-in-residence at the Burgtheater in Vienna since 2009. Jan Lauwers wrote a new play called *The art of entertainment* (2011) and combines his Needcompany ensemble and some Burgtheater actors to stage it.

**PROJECTS**

In 1999 Jan Lauwers launched *Needlapb*, a one-off occasion for ideas, notes, sketches and random thoughts. *Needlapb* enables one to see the initial stages of various projects in which experimentation gropes its way towards the stage. *Just for Toulouse* (Théâtre Garonne, 2006) was the first of a series of evenings when Needcompany’s *associated performing artists* presented installations and performances. In 2007 *Just for Brussels* was presented at BOZAR.

Applause was brought to CC Strombeek at the request of Luk Lambrecht, in confrontation with work by Jeff Wall.

Deconstructions were made by Jan Lauwers using disused museum material. These museum installations have already been shown at BOZAR (Brussels) and the haus der kunst (Munich) in 2007. They formed the setting for a six-hour marathon performance by the NC ensemble on which the whole of Jan Lauwers’ mental world converged.

FILMPROJECTS
Jan Lauwers also has a number of film and video projects to his name, including From Alexandria (1988), Mangia (1995), Sampled Images (2000), C-Song (2003), C-Song Variations (2007) and The OHNO Cooperation Conversations on the O.H.N.O.P.O.P.I.C.O.N.O. Ontology (2007). During summer 2001 Lauwers shot his first full-length film with the working title Goldfish Game (2002). The script was written together with Dick Crane. Goldfish Game is the story of a small community of people who are violently torn apart. The premiere took place at the Venice Film Festival (in the New Territories (Nuovi Territori)) category. The Kinematrix internet magazine (Italy) proclaimed Goldfish Game the best film in the Formati Anomali (Unusual Forms) category. The jury report said: ‘An innovative style of directing that surpasses the limits of the digital medium’. Goldfish Game was selected for the Buenos Aires International Human Rights Film and Video Festival in 2002, the Ghent Film Festival in 2002 and the Solothurn Film Festival in Switzerland in 2003. At the Slamdance Film Festival (January 2004), Goldfish Game was awarded the Grand Jury Honour for the Best Ensemble Cast.

In February 2003 Jan Lauwers made a silent short film on violence, called C-Song. This film has been shown to a limited audience several times, during the Needlapbs at STUK in Leuven and the Kaaithereal Studios in Brussels, and also in ‘War is Not Art’ at the Vooruit in Ghent. In April 2004 C-Song had its official premiere at the Courtisane short-film festival in Ghent. It was subsequently selected for the International Short-Film Festival in Hamburg in 2004 and in July 2004 was screened in the old water-tower at Bredene on the Belgian coast as part of Grasduinen 2004, SMAK-aan-Zee.
C-Song Variations (2007), a short film made in connection with The Lobster Shop, had a preview at BOZAR (Brussels) in April and its premiere at the Temps d’Images festival in La Ferme du Buisson (Paris) in October 2007. It was then shown at the haus der kunst (2007) in Munich. For the SPIELART Festival in Munich (2007) he did a video project together with Maarten Seghers: The OHNO Cooperation Conversations on the O.H.N.O.P.O.P.I.C.O.N.O. Ontology.

VISUAL ART
At the request of the curator Luk Lambrecht, Jan Lauwers took part in the Grimbergen 2002 exhibition together with 8 other artists (including Thomas Schütte, Lili Dujourie, Job Koelewijn, Atelier Van Lieshout, Jan De Cock and Ann Veronica Janssens).

In spring 2006 his work was included in the DARK exhibition at the Boijmans van Beuningen Museum in Rotterdam.

In 2007 Jan Lauwers had his first solo exhibition at BOZAR (Brussels), curated by Jérôme Sans (former director of Palais de Tokyo, now at the UCCA). To accompany this exhibition he also compiled the first book to focus on his art work from 1996 to 2006. At the Artbrussels art fair (2007), Lauwers was invited to make a site-specific work for BOZAR.
Luk Lambrecht has invited Jan Lauwers to take part in *Down to Earth*, a group exhibition of ceramics at Strombeek cultural centre, which includes work by Ann Veronica Janssens, Heimo Zobernig, Atelier Van Lieshout, Lawrence Weiner, Kurt Ryslavy and Manfred Pernice.

In May 2009 Jérôme Sans invited Jan Lauwers to exhibit at *Curated by vienna 09*. *Curated by* brought 18 Viennese contemporary art galleries together with international curators.

*Deconstructions* were made by Jan Lauwers using disused museum material. These museum installations have already been shown at BOZAR (Brussels) and the haus der kunst (Munich) in 2007.

*The House of Our Fathers* – a house measuring 20 x 5 x 5m – is the basis for a major new project by Jan Lauwers. A ‘house’ work of art that examines time, place and perception (the essential difference between theatre and art). It will be expanded over the years to form an entirely independent work of art to which Jan Lauwers invites other artists. A first version of this house will be exhibited in 2011 in the Kunsthalle (Mannheim) during the Schillertage.
GRACE ELLEN BARKEY


Since 1992 she has been steadily and successfully building an international career with her own stage creations. Her first pieces, One (1992), Don Quijote (1993) and Tres (1995) were coproduced by Theater AmTurm in Frankfurt. These were followed by the Needcompany productions Stories (Histoires/Verhalen) (1996), Rood Red Rouge (1998) and Few Things (2000). Few Things was received very enthusiastically both at home and abroad. With (AND) (2002) she transcends all the boundaries of theatre, dance and music with an irresistible flair. In 2005 Grace Ellen Barkey presented her new stage show, Chunking and was nominated for the Flemish Community Culture Prizes (2005). For The Porcelain Project (2007) she created a porcelain installation together with Lot Lemm. This door is too small (for a bear) (2010) is her new production.

In 2004 Grace Ellen Barkey & Lot Lemm set up Lemm&Barkey to give shape to their close artistic cooperation: they designed the costumes for Isabella’s Room (2004) and were responsible for the concept, set and costumes for Chunking, The Porcelain Project and This door is too small (for a bear). In 2007 they created a porcelain installation for the production The Porcelain Project. It has been shown at several museums including BOZAR (Brussels) and the Benaki Museum (Athens). The curator Luk Lambrecht then invited them to take part in the group exhibition I am your private dancer (2008) at Strombeek cultural centre, they created works for the group exhibition Het spel van de waanzin, over gekte in film en theater (2008) at the Dr Guislain Museum (Ghent) and were invited to take part in the ‘contemporary ceramics’ section of the Down to Earth (2009) exhibition by its curator Hugo Meert.

VIVIANE DE MUYNCK

Viviane De Muynck is best-known as one of the principal actresses in Needcompany. In the early nineties she met Jan Lauwers, artistic director of Needcompany, with whom she has since done much captivating work.

She studied drama at the Conservatory in Brussels, where she was a student of Jan Decorte. From 1980 she was a member of the Mannen van den Dam collective and acted in Strindberg’s De Pelikaan, Feydeau’s Het laxeermiddel, Bernhard’s De macht der gewoonte and Strauss’ Het Park. In 1987 she won the Theo d’Or Prize for her performance as Martha in Who’s Afraid of Virginia Woolf?, which Sam Bogaerts directed for the De Witte Kraai company. After that she joined Maatschappij Discordia and performed in Alfred Jarry’s UBU ROI, Judith Herzberg’s Kras, Handke’s Das Spiel vom Fragen, and Shakespeare’s Measure for Measure and Twelfth Night.
Collaboration with three theatres in the Netherlands resulted in Count Your Blessings with Toneelgroep Amsterdam, directed by Gerardjan Rijnders, Iphigenia in Taurus with the Nationaal Toneel in The Hague, directed by Ger Thijs and Hamlet with Het Zuidelijk Toneel, directed by Ivo Van Hove. She also acted in two Kaaitheater productions: in 1994 in Pijl van de Tijd (Martin Amis), directed by Guy Cassiers and in 1995 the part of Odysseus in Philoktetes Variations (Müller, Gide, Jesuren) by Jan Ritsema, alongside Dirk Roofthoof and Ron Vawter. She also made guest appearances with The Wooster Group in O’Neill’s The Hairy Ape and other plays. She acted in Relazione Pubblica, a choreographic piece by Caterina and Carlotta Sagna. In 2007 she played the leading part in Ein fest für Boris, a creation for the Salzburger Festspiele.

Viviane De Muynck also works with musicians, such as on La Trahison Orale (oratorio by Maurizio Kagel) with the Schönberg Ensemble (conductor Rembert De Leeuw), Ode to Napoleon Bonaparte (Arnold Schönberg) with Zeitklang (conductor Alain Franco) and the Spectra Ensemble (conductor Philippe Raté), Lohengrin (Schiarinno) with Neue Musik Berlin (conductor Beat Furrer and director Ingrid von Wantoch Rekowski). She collaborated with Eric Sleichim and the BlIndman Saxophone Quartet on Men in Tribulation (May 2004). She was in the play Walking in the Limits (2006), in collaboration with Franz Krug & Heiner Reber, and Lauf zum Meer (2009), directed by Thorsten Lensing and Jan Hein, with the jazz musicians Jean-Paul Bourelly, Gilbert Diop and Willy Keller.

She makes regular appearances in film and TV productions. She acted in Vinaya, a film by Peter van Kraaij and Josse De Pauw and in De avonden, directed by R. Van den Berg, after the book by Gerard Reve. Two other notable film parts have been in Vincent and Theo (directed by Robert Altman) and The Crossing (directed by Nora Hoppe). She was twice nominated for the ‘Gouden Kalf’ at the Utrecht film festival: for the film De avonden and for the TV-drama Duister licht by Martin Koolhoven. In 2005 she acted in the first full-length film by Fien Troch, Someone else’s happiness and also appeared in Geoffrey Enthoven’s film Vidange Perdue (2006). This was followed by the feature film Vreemd Bloed (2010) by Maria Goos and Mark Timmers, as well as a notable part in the acclaimed television series Oud België (2010), a fiction series by Indra Siera based on a scenario by Peter Van Den Begin and Stany Crets.

Viviane De Muynck is much in demand internationally as a guest lecturer on theatre courses and workshops. In addition to this she has taken to stage directing in Germany. In 2000 she directed the first performances of Die Vagina Monologe at the Deutsches Schauspielhaus in Hamburg, and As I Lay Dying (2003), an adaptation of William Faulkner.

Since the opera Orfeo (1993) by Walter Hus and Jan Lauwers, she has acted regularly with Needcompany. Over the years she has appeared in The Snakesong Trilogy (Le Pouvoir, Le Désir and the full version), Macbeth (1996), Caligula (1997), Morning Song (1999), DeaDDogsDon’tDance/DJamesDJoyceDeaD (2000), Goldfish Game (2002), No Comment (2003), Isabella’s room (2004), All is Vanity (2006) and The Deer House (2008). For DeaDDogsDon’tDance/ DJamesDJoyceDeaD she joined Jan Lauwers in writing the script. For All is Vanity, she adapted Claire Goll’s book of the same title herself. In 2006 she was awarded the Flemish Community Prize in the performing arts category.

MISHA DOWNEY
Misha Downey was born in Leicester in England. He trained at the London Contemporary Dance School from 1989 to 1992. Afterwards he co-founded the Bedlam Dance Company, which was led by the choreographer Yael Flexer. He worked with the Adventures in Motion Pictures (AMP) dance company on
*The Nutcracker* and danced for the Harlemations Dance Company led by the choreographer Bunty Mathias. In January 1994 he joined Anne Teresa De Keersmaeker’s Rosas dance company, where he took part in the creation of *Kinok* and *Amor constante más allá de la muerte*, as well as being involved in the revival of *Toccata*. Before he joined Needcompany, he also danced *Swan Lake* (1996) for the choreographer Matthew Bourne. In 2000 Downey co-founded the Belgian company Amgod for which he created and performed in *What Do You Want?* (2001), *Second Album* (2003) and *As Simple As That* (2005). In 2005 he danced in *Flesh and Blood* by Lea Anderson’s Cholmondeleys in the UK. He also worked in Switzerland for the Gisela Rocha Company.


**Julien Faure**
Julien Faure, born in France, studied performing arts at INSAS in Brussels from 1995 till 1998. After his studies he worked with Pierre Droulers on *Multim in Parvo* (1998) a creation for the KunstenFESTIVALdesArts. As from 1998 he worked with Karin Vyncke, Julie Bougard, Jean-François Duroure and Cie Osmosis. In 2001 he created his first choreography *Stamata #1-Et si demain voit le jour*.


**Yumiko Funaya**
Yumiko Funaya was born in Japan and studied dance at the Japan Woman’s College of Physical Education in Tokyo (2002-2004). In 2004 she entered P.A.R.T.S. contemporary dance school.

She started working with Jan Lauwers & Needcompany for the creation of *The Deer House* (2008) and is a member of the cast of *The art of entertainment* (2011). In *Isabella’s room* she replaces Louise Peterhoff. In *The Porcelain Project* by Grace Ellen Barkey, she replaces Taka Shamoto. *This door is too small (for a bear)* (2010) is her first creation in collaboration with Grace Ellen Barkey.

**Benoît Gob**
Benoît Gob studied painting at the academy of art in Liège and then continued studying at INSAS in Brussels. In 1998 he joined Wim Vandekeybus’ dance company Ultima Vez and danced in several productions including *The day of heaven and hell, In spite of wishing and wanting and Inasmuch as life is borrowed*.

He collaborated for the first time with Needcompany in (AND) (2002) by Grace Ellen Barkey. He replaced Dick Crane in *Images of Affection* (2002). In addition to this he also appeared in *Isabella’s room* (2004), *The...*

MICHAEL KÖNIG

Born 1947 in Munich, Germany. He studied at the Otto-Falckenberg-Schule in Munich and made his debut in 1966 at the Münchner Kammerspiele playing in "Der Zerrissene" by Johann Nestroy, followed by roles in Munich and at the Theater in Bremen until 1970, when he and director Peter Stein where engaged at the Schaubühne Berlin. He was a cast member at the Schaubühne until 1999, took part in numerous plays and worked closely with the directors Peter Stein, Klaus Michael Gruber, Luc Bondy, Andrea Breth and others. During this period he also worked as stage director and staged the plays „Ella” by Herbert Achternbusch (1978) and „Woyzeck” by Georg Büchner (1981). After playing several guest roles at the Münchner Kammerspiele, he became member of its ensemble from 1996 to 1999.

Since 1999 Michael König belongs to the Burgtheater-Ensemble in Vienna and has worked with directors Frank Castorf, Andrea Breth, Stephan Kimmig, Nikolas Brieger, Alvis Hermanis et al. and played numerous characters, e.g. Agamemnon in Shakespeares „Troilus and Cressida”; the Professor in Tchechovs „Uncle Vanja”; Evens in „The Sea” by Edward Bond; Alfons in „Judgement Day “ by Ödon von Horváth; Theobald Friedeborn in „Käthchen of Heilbronn” by Heinrich von Kleist; Robert Dudley in "Maria Stuart” by Friedrich Schiller; Claudio Dieu in „Letzter Aufruf” by Albert Ostermaier; Odoardo Galotti in „Emilia Galotti” by Gotthold Ephraim Lessing; Malvolio in Shakespeares „Twelfth Night”; Aietes, King of Kolchis in „The golden Fleece” by Franz Grillparzer; Reverend Tooker in „Cat on a Hot Tin Roof” by Tennessee Williams; the Judge (Minos) in „Das Haus des Richters” by Dimintré Dinev; Simon Korach in „Die Probe oder Der brave Simon Korach” by Lukas Bärifuß; in Shakespeares „Wars of the Roses”; Robert in Thomas Bernhards „Der Schein trügt”, Beverly Weston/Sheriff Deon Gilbeau in Tracy Letts „Osage County”, Helge, the father, in „The Funeral” by Thomas Vinterberg, Brabantio in Shakespeares „Othello”.

Michael König is a well know TV- and movie-actor, playing in over 50 productions and worked with Rainer Werner Fassbinder. In 1971 he has been rewarded the "Deutscher Filmpreis" (German Film Awards) as Best Actor in for his performance in "Lenz", a Film by George Moore.

He is currently working with the Needcompany in The art of entertainment as well as participating in the world premiere of Botho Strauss’ next play „Das blinde Geschehen” at the Burgtheater, both in March 2011.

SYLVIE ROHRER

Sylvie Rohrer, swissborn actress, attended the Zurich Schauspielschule from 1988 to 1991. First she appeared at Theater Dortmund, notably in the role of Pegleg in „The Black Rider”, for which she was voted „Young Actress of the Year „ in 1995 by the publication „Theater heute”. The same award was presented to her in 1996 for her Églé in Marivaux’s „Der Streit” at the Thalia Theater Hamburg, where she belonged to the ensemble from 1995 to1999, working with directors as Jürgen Flimm, Martin Kusej, Sven-Eric Bechtolf, Wilfried Minks in plays of all epochs, from Sophocles to Shakespeare, Molière and Hebbel to Horváth, Handke and Tankred Dorst. In 1996 Sylvie Rohrer was awarded the Boy-Gobert-Prize.

Since the season of 1999/2000 she is member of the ensemble of Vienna’s Burgtheater, working with directors as Luc Bondy, Dieter Giesing, Grzegorz Jarzyna, Alvis Hermanis and Matthias Hartmann. In various
parts as Dyonisos, Medea, Elisabeth in „Glaube, Liebe, Hoffnung“ and many others. 2007 Sylvie Rohrer received the „Nestroy“-Prize for best actress in Jelinek’s „Über Tierer“ and for her Medea. Guest engagements have brought her to the Berliner Ensemble for Isabella in „Measure for Measure“ with Claus Peymann, Tamora in „Schändung“ and as Young Poet in Robert Wilson/Rufus Wainwrights „Shakespeares Sonetts“. At the Salzburg Festival she was Emmeline in „King Arthur“ and appeared as Aricia in „Phädra“ in 2010. She also has to her credit performances for radio, film and televisio.

Since 1998 she performed several concerts of „Perséphone“ (Gide/Strawinsky), „Jeanne d’Arc au bûcher“ (Claudel/Honegger) and of Schönbergs’s „Pierrot Lunaire“.

She is a member of the cast of The art of entertainment (2011).

ÉLÉONORE VALÈRE
Éléonore Valère was born in France, where she studied philosophy. She then obtained a grant from the French Ministry of Culture to study at the P.A.R.T.S dance school (Anne Teresa De Keersmaeker). In 2004 she became a member of Ultima Vez, the company headed by Wim Vandekeybus, and among other things took part in the European tour of Porteuses de mauvaises nouvelles. She assisted Anton Lachky with the creation of Heaven is the place, Inner eye and Softandhard. For Charleroi/Danses (Michèle Anne De Mey) she danced in Sinfonia Eroïca, in which she toured the world, and created the solo piece Lands. She has also worked with Justin Garrick, Jean Abreu (Figis) and William Forsythe (Human Writes). She has recently created several dance pieces (On Friskin, Skonifrin) and danced in Kristian Smeds’ Mental Finland. She has supervised a number of short courses and has taught at several schools in Paris (Ménagerie de Verre), Toulouse, Budapest, Salzburg, Brussels, Prague, Antwerp, Turin and elsewhere.

She started her collaboration with Jan Lauwers & Needcompany for the replacement of Tijen Lawton for The Deer House in 2009. She is a member of the cast of The art of entertainment (2011).
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